UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,586	06/29/2005	Claude Andre Pierre Requet	Bugnion-4 7519	
1218 CASELLA & F	7590 08/31/200 HESPOS	EXAMINER		
274 MADISON			BRADFORD, CANDACE L	
NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
				
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/535,586	REQUET, CLAUDE ANDRE PIERRE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Candace L. Bradford	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/12/	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6/12/07 amendment</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/12/07 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 2b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the rails" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested that the applicant use the phrase "the guide rails".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Claims 1 and 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perderson (5927369). Perderson discloses a building door as best seen in Figure 1, that can move, made up of several panels 1, each panel having two opposite longitudinal edges that extend parallel to one another as best seen in Figure 2, and substantially normal to a direction of movement of the respective panel and two opposite transerve edges that extend between the longitudinal edges and that are aligned substantially parallel to the direction of movement of the respective panels, each of the panels further having opposite interior and exterior wall, with male and female parts/shapings at the first and second ends of the panels respectively, as best seen in Figures 2 and 3, the panels guided along at least one guide rail 4, with a curvilinear section and the panels being articulated to one another about axes of pivoting, parallel to their longitudinal edges by virtue of pivot elements 14, within the thickness of the panels, which are coplanar with the interior walls of the panel, the male part has a shaft 8, the axis of which defines the axis of pivoting and in the female part a drilling to take the shaft, with transverse edges of the panels consisting of a U-section with two parallel flanges 16,17, connected to the interior and exterior walls of a panel, a guide device 3, being disposed in alignment with portions of the panels between the interior wall and an exterior wall thereof, the guide devices being engageable with the guide rail.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

Application/Control Number: 10/535,586

Art Unit: 3634

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 14 is the inclusion of the pivot elements has a plurality of apertures aligned with tappings in traverse edges. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 15 is the inclusion of no part of any of the pivot elements projects beyond the front and rear walls of the respective panel. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's attention is drawn to page 7 of the remarks. The applicants states that the pivot elements 14, of Penderson are not coplanar with the interior walls of the panels with which they articulate, however this is clearly recited in column 3, lines 46 and 47 and best show in Figures 2 and 3.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candace L. Bradford whose telephone number is (571) 272-8967. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am until 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-8967. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Candace L. Bradford Patent Examiner Art Unit 3634 August 27, 2007

BRIAN E. GLESSNER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER